



# Modification of Block Pulse Functions and their application to solve numerically Volterra integral equation of the first kind

K. Maleknejad\*, B. Rahimi

Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran 14778, Iran

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 20 June 2010

Received in revised form 17 August 2010

Accepted 26 September 2010

Available online 29 September 2010

### Keywords:

Numerical solution

Volterra integral equations

Block Pulse Functions

Function expansion

Parallel programming

## ABSTRACT

In this paper a modification of Block Pulse Functions is introduced and used to solve Volterra integral equation of the first kind. Some theorems are included to show convergence and advantage of the method. Some examples show accuracy of the method.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Approximation theory is concerned with how functions can best be approximated with simpler functions called base functions and with quantitatively characterizing the errors introduced thereby [1]. One of these base functions is Block Pulse Functions (BPFs) [2] on which some researches are based. However BPFs are very common in use, it seems their convergence is weak and some published papers have tried to improve the speed of BPFs convergence with different methods like hybrid BPFs [3–5]. In fact by referring to error bound of BPFs approximation it seems for achieving double precision, number of BPFs have to be doubled which means solving systems of equations with double unknowns and double equations [1,6].

In this paper  $\varepsilon$  Modified Block Pulse Functions ( $\varepsilon$ MBPFs) are introduced and some theorems prove if  $\varepsilon$ MBPFs be used for achieving numerical expansions with  $k$  times more precision, there is no need to increase the number of BPFs,  $k$  times, which leads to solve a system of equations with  $k$  times more equations and unknowns. But the results of BPFs solution can be combined with solutions of  $k - 1$  systems of equations with one more unknown and nearly achieve  $k$  times more precision.

We use  $\varepsilon$ MBPFs and directly solve Volterra integral equation of the first kind, then by some examples we show the efficiency of  $\varepsilon$ MBPFs.

## 2. Block Pulse Functions (BPFs)

BPFs are studied by many authors and applied for solving different problems, for example see [2–7].

**Definition:** An  $m$ -set of BPFs is defined over the interval  $[0, T)$  as

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +98 2177240302; fax: +98 2173223416.

E-mail addresses: [maleknejad@iust.ac.ir](mailto:maleknejad@iust.ac.ir) (K. Maleknejad), [bigrahimi@gmail.com](mailto:bigrahimi@gmail.com) (B. Rahimi).

$$\psi_i(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \frac{iT}{m} \leq t < \frac{(i+1)T}{m} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $i = 0, \dots, m-1$  with  $m$  as a positive integer. Also,  $h = T/m$ , and  $\psi_i$  is the  $i$ th BPF.

In this paper it is assumed that  $T = 1$ , so BPFs are defined over  $[0, 1)$  and  $h = 1/m$ .

There are some properties for BPFs, the most important properties are disjointness, orthogonality, and completeness.

The disjointness property can be clearly obtained from the definition of BPFs:

$$\psi_i(t)\psi_j(t) = \begin{cases} \psi_i(t), & i = j, \\ 0, & i \neq j, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where  $i, j = 0, \dots, m-1$ .

The other property is orthogonality. It is clear that

$$\int_0^1 \psi_i(t)\psi_j(t)dt = h\delta_{ij}, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\delta_{ij}$  is Kronecker delta.

The third property is completeness. For every  $f \in L^2([0, 1))$  when  $m$  approaches to infinity, Parseval's identity holds:

$$\int_0^1 f^2(t)dt = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i^2 \|\psi_i(t)\|^2, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$f_i = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^1 f(t)\psi_i(t)dt. \quad (2.5)$$

**Vector forms:** Consider the first  $m$  terms of BPFs and write them concisely as  $m$ -vector:

$$\Psi(t) = [\psi_0(t), \dots, \psi_{m-1}(t)]^T, \quad t \in [0, 1).$$

The above representation and disjointness property follows [2]:

$$\Psi(t)\Psi^T(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_0(t) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \psi_1(t) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \psi_{m-1}(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\Psi^T(t)\Psi(t) = 1, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\Psi(t)\Psi^T(t)V = \tilde{V}\Psi(t), \quad (2.8)$$

where  $V$  is an  $m$ -vector and  $\tilde{V} = \text{diag}(V)$ . Moreover, it can be clearly concluded that for an  $m \times m$  matrix  $B$ :

$$\Psi^T(t)B\Psi(t) = \hat{B}^T\Psi(t), \quad (2.9)$$

where  $\hat{B}$  is an  $m$ -vector with elements equal to the diagonal entries of matrix  $B$ .

**BPFs expansion:** The expansion of a function  $f(t)$  over  $[0, 1)$  with respect to  $\psi_i(t)$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, m-1$  may be compactly written as:

$$f(t) \simeq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f_i\psi_i(t) = F^T\Psi(t) = \Psi^T(t)F, \quad (2.10)$$

where  $F = [f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}]^T$  and  $f_i$ 's is defined by (2.5).

Now assume  $k(s, t) \in L^2([0, 1) \times [0, 1))$ . It can be expanded with respect to BPFs as

$$k(s, t) \simeq \Psi^T(s)K\Gamma(t), \quad (2.11)$$

where  $\Psi(s)$  and  $\Gamma(t)$  are  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  components BPFs vectors, respectively, and  $K$  is the  $m_1 \times m_2$  block pulse coefficient matrix with  $k_{ij}$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, m_1 - 1$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, m_2 - 1$ , as:

$$k_{ij} = m_1 m_2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k(s, t)\psi_i(s)\gamma_j(t)dsdt. \quad (2.12)$$

For convenience, we put  $m_1 = m_2 = m$ .

Operational matrix: Computing  $\int_0^t \psi_i(\tau) d\tau$  follows:

$$\int_0^t \psi_i(\tau) d\tau = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq ih, \\ t - ih, & ih \leq t < (i+1)h, \\ h, & (i+1)h \leq t < 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

Note that  $t - ih$ , equals to  $h/2$ , at mid-point of  $[ih, (i+1)h]$ . So we can approximate  $t - ih$ , for  $ih \leq t < (i+1)h$ , by  $h/2$ .

Now expressing  $\int_0^t \psi_i(\tau) d\tau$ , in terms of the BPFs follows:

$$\int_0^t \psi_i(\tau) d\tau \simeq [0, \dots, 0, \frac{h}{2}, h, \dots, h] \Phi(t), \quad (2.14)$$

in which the  $i$ th component is  $h/2$ .

Therefore

$$\int_0^t \Psi(\tau) d\tau \simeq P\Psi(t), \quad (2.15)$$

where  $P_{m \times m}$  is called operational matrix of integration which can be represented by:

$$P = \frac{h}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & \dots & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & \dots & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.16)$$

So, the integral of every function  $f(t)$  can be approximated as follows:

$$\int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau \simeq \int_0^t F^T \Psi(\tau) d\tau \simeq F^T P \Psi(t) \quad (2.17)$$

Time delay BPFs: If we define an auxiliary function of a single Block Pulse with the width  $\lambda h$  ( $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ ):

$$\psi_\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq t < \lambda h \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.18)$$

and an  $m \times 1$  vector  $\Psi_\lambda(t)$ :

$$\Psi_\lambda(t) = [\psi_\lambda(t), \psi_\lambda(t-h), \dots, \psi_\lambda(t-(n-1)h)]^T,$$

then a BPF containing time delay  $\tau = (q + \lambda)h$  can be expressed as [2]:

$$\psi_i(t - \tau) = \Delta_i^T H^q \Psi(t) - \Delta_i^T H^q \Psi_\lambda(t) + \Delta_i^T H^{q+1} \Psi_\lambda(t), \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$\Delta_i = [0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0]^T,$$

one lays in  $i$ th position, and

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

### 3. $\varepsilon$ Modified Block Pulse Functions ( $\varepsilon$ MBPFs)

**Definition:** An  $(n+1)$ -set of  $\varepsilon$ MBPFs consists of  $n+1$  functions which are defined over  $[0, T]$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0(t) &= \begin{cases} 1, & t \in [0, \frac{T}{n} - \varepsilon) = I_0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \phi_n(t) &= \begin{cases} 1, & t \in [T - \varepsilon, T) = I_n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \phi_i(t) &= \begin{cases} 1, & t \in [\frac{iT}{n} - \varepsilon, \frac{(i+1)T}{n} - \varepsilon) = I_i, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \quad 0 < i < n. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

$\varepsilon$ MBPFs are disjoint and orthogonal:

$$\phi_i(t)\phi_j(t) = \begin{cases} \phi_i(t), & i = j, \\ 0, & i \neq j, \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

where  $i, j = 0, \dots, n$  and

$$\int_0^1 \phi_i(t)\phi_j(t)dt = h\delta_{ij}, \tag{3.3}$$

$\varepsilon$ MBPFs like BPFs are complete:

$$\int_0^1 f^2(t)dt = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i^2 \|\phi_i(t)\|^2, \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$f_i = \frac{1}{\Delta(I_i)} \int_0^1 f(t)\phi_i(t)dt, \tag{3.5}$$

and  $\Delta(I_i)$  is length of interval  $I_i$ , defined in (3.1).

Using notation  $\Phi_n(t) = [\phi_0(t), \dots, \phi_n(t)]^T$ , the following properties are achieved:

$$\Phi_{n+1}(t)\Phi_{n+1}^T(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_0(t) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_1(t) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \phi_n(t) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\Phi_{n+1}^T(t)\Phi_{n+1}(t) = 1, \tag{3.7}$$

$$\Phi_{n+1}(t)\Phi_{n+1}^T(t)V = \tilde{V}\Phi_{n+1}(t), \tag{3.8}$$

$$\Phi_{n+1}^T(t)B\Phi_{n+1}(t) = \tilde{B}^T\Phi_{n+1}(t). \tag{3.9}$$

If  $h = T/n$  the operational matrix of  $\varepsilon$ MBPFs is defined as follows:

$$P_{(n+1) \times (n+1)} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{h-\varepsilon}{2} & h-\varepsilon & h-\varepsilon & \dots & h-\varepsilon & h-\varepsilon \\ 0 & \frac{h}{2} & h & \dots & h & h \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{h}{2} & \dots & h & h \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{h}{2} & h \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.10}$$

and it has the same properties and usages as operational matrix (2.16).

**Definition:**  $\varepsilon$ MBPFs expansion of continues function  $f(t) \in L^2[0, 1]$  with respect to  $\phi_i, i = 0, \dots, n$  is defined as:

$$f(t) \simeq \hat{f}_{n+1} = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i \phi_i(t), \tag{3.11}$$

$f_i$  is defined in (3.5).

### 4. Theorems and error analysis

In following theorems for simplicity we assume  $T = 1$  and  $h = 1/n$ .

**Theorem 1.** If  $\hat{f}_n(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i \phi_i(t)$  and  $f_i = \frac{1}{\Delta(I_i)} \int_0^1 f(t)\phi_i(t)dt, i = 0, \dots, n$  then:

- (i)  $\delta = \int_0^1 (f(t) - \sum_{i=0}^n f_i \phi_i(t))^2 dt$ , achieves its minimum value.
- (ii)  $\{\hat{f}_n(t)\}$  approaches  $f(t)$  point wise.
- (iii)  $\int_0^1 f^2(t)dt = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i^2 \|\phi_i\|^2$

**Proof.** Proof is like similar theorem in [2] but intervals of integrations have to redefine as  $I_i, i = 0, \dots, n$  in (3.1).  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** Assume:

- (i)  $f(t)$  is continuous and is differentiable over interval  $[-h, 1+h]$ ,
- (ii)  $\hat{f}_{\frac{h}{k}}(t) i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$  are correspondingly BPFs,  $\frac{h}{k}$ MBPFs  $\dots, \frac{(k-1)h}{k}$ MBPFs expansions of  $f(t)$  base on  $n+1$   $\varepsilon$ MBPFs over interval  $[0, 1)$ ,
- (iii)  $E = \max_{i=0, \dots, k-1} \|f(t) - \hat{f}_{\frac{h}{k}}(t)\|_{\infty}$ ,
- (iv)  $\bar{f}(t) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \hat{f}_{\frac{h}{k}}(t)$ ,

then for adequate large  $n$ :

$$\|f(t) - \bar{f}(t)\|_{\infty} \lesssim \frac{1}{k} E.$$

**Proof.** Suppose  $t_i = i/n$  and  $I_i = [t_{i-1}, t_i) i = 0, \dots, n$ . In fact  $t_i$ s are partition points of BPFs. Through the rest of proof  $i$  is an arbitrary element of set  $\{0, \dots, n\}$ , but fix. Suppose  $n$  is so large that  $f(t)$  over interval  $J = [t_{i-1}, t_{i+1})$  is approximately equal to constant  $m$  and we use line  $y = mt + b$  instead of  $f(t)$  over interval  $J$ . Now over interval  $[t_i, t_i + \frac{h}{k})$ :

$$\bar{f}(t) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{m(t_i - \frac{jh}{k}) + b + m(t_{i+1} - \frac{jh}{k}) + b}{2} = m \left( \frac{t_i + t_{i+1}}{2} \right) + b - \frac{mh(k-1)}{2k}, \tag{4.1}$$

but  $t_{i+1} = t_i + h$  and (4.1) can be reformulated as:

$$\bar{f}(t) = m \left( \frac{t_i + t_i + h}{2} \right) + b - \frac{mh(k-1)}{2k} = mt_i + b + \frac{mh(k-1)}{2k}, \tag{4.2}$$

on the other hand:

$$\max_{[t_i, t_i + \frac{h}{k})} |f(t) - \bar{f}(t)| \simeq \max_{[t_i, t_i + \frac{h}{k})} |mt + b - \bar{f}(t)| \leq |mt_i + b - \bar{f}(t)| = \frac{mh}{2k}, \tag{4.3}$$

and over  $[t_i, t_i + \frac{h}{k})$ ,  $E$  is:

$$E \geq \max_{i=0, \dots, k-1} |f(t) - \hat{f}_{\frac{h}{k}}(t)| \simeq \left| mt_i + b - \left( \frac{mt_i + b + m(t_i + h) + b}{2} \right) \right| = \frac{mh}{2}. \tag{4.4}$$

(4.3) and (4.4) complete the proof.  $\square$

Maleknejad in [6] and Lepik in [8] have introduced some methods to estimate the error when the exact solution is not available.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose  $f$  is continuous in  $I$ , is differentiable in  $(0, 1)$ , and there is a number  $M$  such that  $|f'(x)| \leq M$ , for every  $x \in I$ . Then

$$|f(b) - f(a)| \leq M|b - a|,$$

for all  $a, b \in I$ .

**Proof.** See [9].  $\square$

Now, we assume that  $f(x)$  is a differentiable function on  $I$  such that  $|f'(x)| \leq M$ . We define the error between  $f(x)$  and its BPFs expansion over every subinterval  $I_i$  as follows:

$$e_i(x) = f_i - 0(x), \quad x \in I_i$$

where  $I_i = [\frac{i}{n}, \frac{i+1}{n})$ .

It can be shown that:

$$\|e_i\|^2 = \int_{\frac{i}{n}}^{\frac{i+1}{n}} e_i^2(x) dx = \int_{\frac{i}{n}}^{\frac{i+1}{n}} (f_i - f(x))^2 dx = \frac{1}{n} (f_i - f(\eta))^2, \quad \eta \in I_i, \tag{4.5}$$

where we used mean value theorem for integral. Using Eq. (2.5) and the mean value theorem, we have:

$$f_i = n \int_{\frac{i}{n}}^{\frac{i+1}{n}} f(x) dx = n \frac{1}{n} f(\zeta) = f(\zeta), \quad \zeta \in I_i. \tag{4.6}$$

Substituting (4.6) into (4.5) and using Theorem 3, we will have:

$$\|e_i\|^2 = \frac{1}{n} (f(\zeta) - f(\eta))^2 \leq \frac{M^2}{n} |\zeta - \eta|^2 \leq \frac{M^2}{n^3}. \quad (4.7)$$

This leads to:

$$\|e(x)\|^2 = \int_0^1 e^2(x) dx = \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e_i(x) \right)^2 dx = \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e_i^2(x) \right) dx + 2 \sum_{i < j} \int_0^1 e_i(x) e_j(x) dx.$$

Since for  $i \neq j$ ,  $I_i \cap I_j = \emptyset$ , then

$$\|e(x)\|^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( \int_0^1 e_i^2(x) dx \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|e_i\|^2. \quad (4.8)$$

Substituting (4.7) into (4.8), we have

$$\|e(x)\|^2 \leq \frac{M^2}{n^2},$$

hence,  $\|e(x)\| = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$ , where  $e(x) = |f_n(x) - f(x)|$  and  $f_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_i \phi_i(x)$ .

Theorems 2 and 3 with above discussion conclude that error estimation for  $\varepsilon$ BPFs is  $\|e(x)\| = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{kn}\right)$ , where  $n$  shows number of BPFs and  $k$  times of modifications.

In Lepik estimation [8], when the exact solution is not available we choose number of BPFs as  $n = 2^j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . The error of results can be estimated in following way. We introduce the quantity

$$S(n) = h \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n |f_i| \quad (4.9)$$

$S(n)$  is the area which lies in the interval  $t \in [0, 1]$ , underneath curve  $|f_n(t)|$ . For estimating the exactness of the solution the quantity

$$\Delta(n) = \left| \frac{S(n+1)}{S(n)} - 1 \right|, \quad (4.10)$$

is introduced. The convergence rate of process can be estimated with the aid of function [8]:

$$\sigma(n) = \frac{\Delta(n-1)}{\Delta(n)} j = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (4.11)$$

## 5. Applying $\varepsilon$ MBPFs to solve Volterra integral equation of the first kind

During this section we use a direct method to solve Volterra integral equation of the first kind by BPFs, which is established in [7]. Here we modify this method by  $\varepsilon$ MBPFs.

Consider Volterra integral equation of the first kind:

$$\int_0^t k(t,s)x(s)ds = f(t) \quad 0 \leq t < 1, \quad (5.1)$$

where  $f$  and  $k$  are known,  $x$  is unknown,  $k(t,s) \in L^2([0,1] \times [0,1])$  and  $x(t), f(t) \in L^2([0,1])$ .

Approximating functions  $f$ ,  $x$  and  $k$  with respect to  $\varepsilon$  MBPFs gives:

$$f(t) \simeq F_{n+1}^T \Phi_{n+1}(t) = \Phi_{n+1}^T(t) F_{n+1}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$x(t) \simeq X_{n+1}^T \Phi_{n+1}(t) = \Phi_{n+1}^T(t) X_{n+1}, \quad (5.3)$$

$$k(t,s) \simeq \Phi_{n+1}^T(t) K_{(n+1) \times (n+1)} \Phi_{n+1}(s) \quad (5.4)$$

where the vectors  $F$ ,  $X$  and matrix  $K$  are  $\varepsilon$ MBPFs coefficient of  $f(t)$ ,  $x(t)$  and  $k(t,s)$  respectively. In (5.3),  $X$  is unknown vector.

Substituting (5.2)–(5.4) into (5.1) gives

$$F^T \Phi(t) \simeq \int_0^t \Phi^T(t) K \Phi(s) \Phi^T(s) X ds \simeq \Phi^T(t) K \int_0^t \Phi(s) \Phi^T(s) X ds, \quad (5.5)$$

Using (3.8) follows:

$$F^T \Phi(t) \simeq \Phi^T(t) K \int_0^t \tilde{X} \Phi(s) ds \simeq \Phi^T(t) K \tilde{X} \int_0^t \Phi(s) ds. \quad (5.6)$$

Using operational matrix  $P$  in (3.10) gives

$$\Phi^T \Phi(t) \simeq \Phi^T(t) K \tilde{X} P \Phi(t), \tag{5.7}$$

in which  $K \tilde{X} P$  is an  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$  matrix. If  $\varepsilon$  equals zero, only  $n$  BPFs exist and dimension of vectors and matrixes decrease to  $n$ .

According to (3.9), we can write:

$$\Phi^T(t) K \tilde{X} P \Phi(t) = \hat{X}^T \Phi(t), \tag{5.8}$$

where  $\hat{X}$  is an  $(n + 1)$ -vector with components equal to the diagonal entries of matrix  $K \tilde{X} P$ .

So, the vector  $\hat{X}$  can be written as follows:

$$\hat{X} = \begin{bmatrix} k_{00}x_0 \frac{h-\varepsilon}{2} \\ k_{10}x_0(h-\varepsilon) + k_{11}x_1 \frac{h}{2} \\ k_{20}x_0(h-\varepsilon) + k_{21}x_1h + k_{22}x_2 \frac{h}{2} \\ \vdots \\ k_{n0}x_0(h-\varepsilon) + k_{n1}x_1h + \dots + k_{n(n-1)}x_{n-1}h + k_{nn}x_n \frac{h}{2} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{5.9}$$

Also, we can write

$$\hat{X} = \begin{bmatrix} k_{00} \frac{h-\varepsilon}{2} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ k_{10}(h-\varepsilon) & k_{11} \frac{h}{2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ k_{20}(h-\varepsilon) & k_{21}h & k_{22} \frac{h}{2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ k_{n0}(h-\varepsilon) & k_{n1}h & k_{n2}h & \dots & k_{nn}x_n \frac{h}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}. \tag{5.10}$$

Now, combining (5.7) and (5.8), and replacing  $\simeq$  with  $=$  gives

$$\hat{X} - F = 0. \tag{5.11}$$

If Eq. (5.1) has a unique solution then Eq. (5.11) will be a well condition linear lower triangle system of  $n + 1$  algebraic equations for  $n + 1$  unknown  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  which can be easily solved by forward substitution.

Now if  $\varepsilon_j = \frac{jh}{k}$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, k - 1$  there will be  $k$  numerical answers  $\hat{f}_{\varepsilon_j}$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, k - 1$  and according to theorem (2) we expect, the maximum difference between:

$$\bar{f}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \hat{f}_{\varepsilon_j}(t) \tag{5.12}$$

and  $f(t)$  be approximately equal to  $\|f(t) - f_{n \times k}(t)\|_{\infty}$ , where  $f_{n \times k}(t)$  is the numerical solution achieved by method of [7] with  $n \times k$ , BPFs.

### 6. Numerical examples

In some papers, it is usual to test the accuracy of methods by comparing the numerical solution and exact solution in sample points. But this is not so appropriate for BPFs expansions. For example if we calculate BPFs expansion of  $y = x$  with  $n = 5, 15$  and  $25$  over interval  $[0, 1)$  and choose  $x_i = \frac{2i+1}{10}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$  as sample points, the errors at these points for  $n = 5, 15$  and  $25$ , are zero while the bound of errors for these expansions are correspondingly  $0.1, 0.03$  and  $0.02$ . This example declares that bound of error is more adequate to represent the accuracy of numerical solutions based on BPFs. According to above discussion, in following examples bounds of errors are compared.

In following examples,  $n$  shows number of Block Pulse Functions and  $k$  is times of modifications. If  $k$  equals zero, the expansion is based on BPFs otherwise expansion is based on  $\varepsilon$ MBPFs.

Tables 1–4 clearly show the results of Theorem 2. In fact whenever values of  $n \times (k + 1)$  are equal, the bounds of errors  $\|f - \bar{f}\|_{\infty}$  are equal. For example in Table 1 where  $n = 128$  and  $k = 0$ , it is seen that  $n \times (k + 1) = 128$  and  $\|f - \bar{f}\|_{\infty} = 0.024$ , while for  $n = 32$  and  $k = 3$ , it is seen that  $n \times (k + 1) = 128$  and  $\|f - \bar{f}\|_{\infty} = 0.025$ , which is approximately same bound of error.

**Example 1.** In this example different expansions of  $f(t) = \cos(2\pi t)$  over interval  $[0, 1)$  are compared. Table 1 shows the results of numerical examples.  $n$  is number of BPFs, and  $k$  is number of modifications.

**Example 2.** Consider the following integral equation [7]:

**Table 1**

<i>n</i>	32	64	128	32	32	32	64
<i>k</i>	0	0	0	1	2	3	1
$\ f - \bar{f}\ _\infty$	0.1	0.045	0.024	0.043	0.033	0.025	0.024

**Table 2**

<i>n</i>	32	64	128	32	32	32	64
<i>k</i>	0	0	0	1	2	3	1
$\ f - \bar{f}\ _\infty$	0.02	0.01	0.005	0.011	0.0075	0.0065	0.0055

**Table 3**

<i>n</i>	32	64	128	32	32	32	64
<i>k</i>	0	0	0	1	2	3	1
$\ f - \bar{f}\ _\infty$	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.024	0.017	0.014	0.011

**Table 4**

<i>n</i>	32	64	128	32	32	32	64
<i>k</i>	0	0	0	1	2	3	1
$\ f - \bar{f}\ _\infty$	0.045	0.025	0.014	0.027	0.026	0.018	0.015

$$\int_0^t e^{t+s} x(s) ds = te^t, \quad 0 \leq t < 1,$$

with exact solution  $x(t) = e^{-t}$ . The results are shown in Table 2.

**Example 3.** Consider the following integral equation [7]:

$$\int_0^t \cos(t-s)x(s) ds = t \sin(t), \quad 0 \leq t < 1,$$

with exact solution  $x(t) = 2 \sin(t)$ . The results are shown in Table 3.

**Example 4.** Consider the following Abel integral equation:

$$\int_0^t \frac{x(s)}{\sqrt[100]{t-s}} ds = \frac{10000t^{100}(299 + 200t)}{5890599} \quad 0 \leq t < 1,$$

with exact solution  $x(t) = t^2 + t$ . The results are shown in Table 4.

### 7. Conclusion

The main concept introduced in this paper can be expanded in any numerical expansion. It is relatively easy to illustrate that if we perform simultaneously two operators (shifting and averaging) on an expansion of a function, the result is an expansion with a maximum error, less than or equal to the error of individuals.  $\epsilon$  MBPFs are adaptable to parallel programming.  $\bar{f}$  is an average of  $f_{\bar{e}_i}$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, k - 1$ , and it is possible to calculate each  $f_{\bar{e}_i}$  with one separate processor simultaneous.

### References

[1] K.G. Steffens, The History of Approximation Theory: From Euler to Brenstein, Birkhauser pub., Boston 2006 ISBN 0817643532.  
 [2] Jiang ZH, Schaufelberger W. Block Pulse Functions and Their Applications in Control Systems. Berlin: Springer-Verlag pub; 1992.



- [3] Maleknejad K, Tavassoli-Kajani M. Solving linear integro-differential equation system by Galerkin methods with hybrid functions. *Appl Math Comput* 2004;159:603–12.
- [4] Maleknejad K, Mahmoudi Y. Numerical solution of linear Fredholm integral equations by using hybrid Taylor and Block Pulse functions. *Appl Math Comput* 2004;149:799–806.
- [5] Maleknejad K, Tavassoli-Kajani M. Second kind integral equations by Galerkin methods with hybrid Legendre and Block Pulse functions. *Appl Math Comput* 2003;145:623–9.
- [6] Maleknejad K, Sohrabi S, Baranji B. Application of 2D-BPFs to nonlinear integral equations. *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat* 2010;15:527–35.
- [7] Babolian E, Masouri Z. Direct method to solve Volterra integral equation of the first kind using operational matrix with Block Pulse Functions. *Comput Appl Math* 2000;220:51–7.
- [8] Lepik U. Haar wavelet method for nonlinear integro-differential equations. *Appl Math Comput* 2006;176:324–33.
- [9] Rudin W. *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*. Singapore: McGraw-Hill; 1976.